

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claim 1 was seen to be overly restrictive in view of the intended scope of the claimed invention. Therefore, changes in and deletions of wording were made to assure a broader reading of the claim.

For example, the claimed invention is not limited to embodiments having receptacles that are threaded, having an aperture on one of two rotatably connected parts where one might substitute another conventional form of rotatable connection such as having a stud or rivet on one of the parts that fits into an aperture on the other part, or having a connection only at an end of a part as opposed to having it at any portion of the part along its length (relative some other portion of the part). Changes also were made to clarify that the claimed invention is not limited to use of pneumatic pressure as its energy source.

Other changes were made simply because it was not necessary, or intended as a limiting feature, to describe the claimed invention, such as indicating a specific relationship between parts where variations are possible or indicating a number or specific type of fastener for connections that can be made by conventional means known to those skilled in the art.

Still other changes were made to achieve greater clarity by more consistent use of terms.

Claim 2 was deleted for reasons similar to those stated above with regard to Claim 1.

Claims 3-16 were added to provide additional specificity to Claim 1 in several different ways.

Claims 17-18 present slightly different and, in some respects, more specific language for describing the claimed invention.